arXiv:1402.3854v1 [math.DG] 16 Feb 2014

Genus-2 G-function for $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds

Xiaobo Liu [*], Xin Wang [†]

Abstract

In this paper we prove that for Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds of ADE type the genus-2 G-function introduced by B. Dubrovin, S. Liu, and Y. Zhang vanishes. Together with our results in [LW], this completely solves the main conjecture in their paper [DLZ]. In the process, we also found a sufficient condition for the vanishing of the genus-2 G-function which is weaker than the condition given in our previous paper [LW].

The genus-2 G-function for semisimple Frobenius manifolds was introduced by B. Dubrovin, S. Liu, and Y. Zhang in [DLZ]. On a semisimple Frobenius manifold, there are two coordinate systems: One is called the flat coordinate which is characterized by the property that the non-degenerate pairing on the tangent bundle can be represented by a constant matrix using this coordinate; Another one is called the canonical coordinate in which the coordinate vector fields are idempotents of the product structure. Let F_2 be the genus-2 potential function for a semisimple Frobenius manifold. In [DLZ], F_2 was written as a summation of two functions:

$$F_2 = F_2' + G^{(2)}. \tag{1}$$

In this decomposition, the simple part F_2' is a function which only depends on genus-0 and genus-1 data and can be expressed purely in terms of flat coordinates on the big phase space of Frobenius manifolds. We do not need F_2' in this paper. Precise definition of F_2' can be found in [DLZ] where a graphical representation of this function was also given. The function $G^{(2)}$ appeared in decomposition (1) is called the **genus-2 G-function**. This is a very complicated function which depends on the canonical coordinate system of semisimple Frobenius manifolds. The precise definition of $G^{(2)}$ can be found in Appendix A.

For each cohomological field theory, genus-0 part of the theory defines a Frobenius manifold (cf. [KM]). In this case F_2 is the generating function for genus-2 descendant invariants. These geometric invariants appear as coefficients in the formal power series representation of F_2 in terms of flat coordinates. In general, explicit formulas for the transition functions between flat coordinate and canonical coordinate for semisimple Frobenius

[*]Research of the first author was partially supported by NSFC Tianyuan special fund 11226027, SRFDP grant 20120001110051, and Peking University 985 fund.

[†]Research of the second author was partially supported by SRFDP grant 20120001110051.

manifolds are not easy to obtain. Therefore for the computation of geometric invariants, it is desirable to have a formula for F_2 only using flat coordinates. If the genus-2 G-function is 0, this can be easily achieved due to decomposition (1). In [DLZ], it was conjectured that the genus-2 G-function vanishes for the cohomological field theories associated to ADE singularities and for Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds of ADE type. For A_n singularities, a proof of this conjecture was given by Y. Fu, S. Liu, Y. Zhang, and C. Zhou in [FLZZ], which relies heavily on the specific properties of the Frobenius manifold structure of A_n singularities. A more geometric proof of this conjecture for all ADE singularities was given in our previous paper [LW]. In this paper we prove this conjecture for $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds of ADE type, and thus completely solve the main conjecture in [DLZ]. More precisely, we have the following

Theorem 0.1 *The genus-2 G-function vanishes for the Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds of ADE type.*

In the process of proving this theorem, we also found a sufficient condition for the vanishing of the genus-2 G-function which is weaker than the condition given in Theorem 0.1 of [LW]. More precisely, each cohomological field theory defines a Frobenius manifold structure on a vector space $\mathcal{H}$ with a non-degenerate pairing η. Fix a basis $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_N\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with γ_1 being the identity for the Frobenius manifold structure on $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ be entries for the matrix of η with respect to this basis. Entries for inverse matrix of η are denoted $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$. For any α, γ^α is defined by $\gamma^\alpha = \sum_\beta \eta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_\beta$. Let $\langle\, \tau_{n_1}(\gamma_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{n_k}(\gamma_{\alpha_k}) \,\rangle_g$ be the genus-g descendant invariants of the cohomological field theory. We will identify $\tau_0(\gamma_\alpha)$ with γ_α and call $\langle\, \gamma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \,\rangle_g$ genus-g primary invariants. The Frobenius manifold structure on $\mathcal{H}$ is determined by the genus-0 primary invariants. In [LW], we proved that the genus-2 G-function vanishes under the assumption that certain invariants of genus $g \le 2$ are zero. In this paper, we consider three similar conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(C1) \qquad \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle\, \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\beta \gamma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \,\rangle_0 = 0, \\
&(C2) \qquad \langle\, \gamma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \,\rangle_1 = 0 \quad (k \ge 2) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\, \gamma_\alpha \,\rangle_1 = C\eta_{1\alpha}, \\
&(C3) \qquad \langle\, \gamma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \,\rangle_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\, \tau_1(\gamma_{\alpha_1}) \gamma_{\alpha_2} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \,\rangle_2 = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$, $k \ge 1$, where C is a constant which only depends on $\mathcal{H}$. Conditions (C1) and (C3) are exactly the same as the corresponding conditions in Theorem 0.1 of [LW]. Condition (C2) is weaker than the corresponding condition in [LW] in the sense that the latter one is equivalent to setting $C = 0$ in condition (C2). In this paper we will also prove the following

Theorem 0.2 *For any semisimple cohomological field theory satisfying conditions (C1),(C2) and (C3), the genus-2 G-function vanishes.*

To prove Theorem 0.1, we need to show that conditions (C1)–(C3) are satisfied for the Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds of ADE type. As a byproduct of this process,

we also proves another conjecture, i.e. Conjecture 3.20, in [DLZ] up to a constant (cf. Corollary 3.2).

This paper is organized as follows. In section 1, we introduce notations and review basic properties of idempotents and rotation coefficients needed in this paper. We prove Theorem 0.2 in section 2. In section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 0.1. In appendix, we recall the precise definition for the genus-2 G-function.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we set up notations and review some basic structures for semisimple Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}$ and their extensions to the *big phase space* $\mathcal{P} := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}$. The first copy of $\mathcal{H}$ in the product defining $\mathcal{P}$ is usually identified with $\mathcal{H}$ itself, and is called the *small phase space*. A basis $\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ induces a basis $\{\tau_n(\gamma_\alpha) \mid 1 \leq \alpha \leq N, \ \ n \geq 0\}$ of $\mathcal{P}$. The coordinates on $\mathcal{P}$ with respected to this basis is denoted by $(t_n^\alpha \mid 1 \leq \alpha \leq N, \ \ n \geq 0)$. This is called the *flat coordinate system* on $\mathcal{P}$. Each $\tau_n(\gamma_\alpha)$ can also be viewed as a coordinate vector field on $\mathcal{P}$ and we identify $\tau_0(\gamma_\alpha)$ with γ_α. Linear combinations of γ_α with coefficients being functions on $\mathcal{P}$ are called *primary vector fields*. The product structure on Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}$ can be naturally extended to an associative product, denoted by "$\circ$", on $\mathcal{P}$ using genus-0 potential function F_0 on the big phase space (cf. [L02]). For a cohomological field theory, F_0 is the generating function for genus-0 descendent invariants. The product "$\circ$" can be used to define the quantum product of vector fields on $\mathcal{P}$. Semisimplicity of $\mathcal{H}$ guarantees the existence of mutually commuting primary vector fields $\mathcal{E}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{E}_N$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}_i \circ \mathcal{E}_j = \delta_{ij} \ \mathcal{E}_i.$$

These vector fields are called *idempotents*. On the small phase space, $\mathcal{E}_i$ can be identified with $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}$ where $(u_1, \cdots, u_N)$ is the *canonical coordinate* system on $\mathcal{H}$ (cf. [D]). Functions u_i can also be extended to functions on the big phase space $\mathcal{P}$ as the eigenvalue of the quantum multiplication by the Euler vector field on $\mathcal{P}$ (cf. [L06]). These functions satisfy the property

$$\mathcal{E}_i u_j = \delta_{ij}.$$

The non-degenerate pairing η on $\mathcal{H}$ can also be extended to a bilinear form $< \cdot, \cdot >$ which is only non-degenerate on the space of primary vector fields (cf. [L06]). Idempotents are orthogonal with respect to $< \cdot, \cdot >$. Define

$$g_i := < \mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_i >, \quad h_i = \sqrt{g_i}$$

and set

$$r_{ij} := \frac{\mathcal{E}_j}{\sqrt{g_j}} \sqrt{g_i}. \tag{2}$$

Functions r_{ij} are called the *rotation coefficients on the big phase space* (cf. [L06]). These functions are symmetric with respect to i and j. For $i \neq j$, the restriction of r_{ij} to

the small phase space coincides with Dubrovin's definition of rotation coefficients for semisimple Frobenius manifolds (cf. [D]). For $i = j$, Dubrovin set the corresponding rotation coefficients to 0. This is different from our definition of r_{ii} given by equation (2). Except in Appendix A, throughout this paper we will use equation (2) for rotation coefficients instead of Dubrovin's definition. The *string equation* on the small phase space $\mathcal{H}$ can be written as

$$\sum_j r_{ij} h_j \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all i.

We now review basic properties of rotation coefficients which are most relevant to our calculations in this paper. More properties about idempotents and rotation coefficients on the big phase spaces can be found in [L06] and [L07]. Although results in [L06] and [L07] were stated for Gromov-Witten theory, they can be easily extended to all semisimple cohomological field theories.

As observed in [LW], a key step in proving the vanishing of the genus-2 G-function is to express this function in terms of r_{ij}, h_i, and the following functions

$$v_{ij} := (u_j - u_i) r_{ij},$$

$$\theta_{ij} := \frac{1}{u_j - u_i}\Big(r_{ij} + \sum_k r_{ik} v_{jk}\Big)$$

and

$$\Omega_{ij} := \frac{1}{u_j - u_i}\Big(\theta_{ij} - \theta_{ji} + \sum_{k,l} r_{il} r_{jk} v_{kl}\Big).$$

θ_{ij} and Ω_{ij} are only defined for $i \neq j$. Obviously,

$$\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ji} = -\sum_k r_{ik} r_{jk}, \qquad \Omega_{ij} = \Omega_{ji} \tag{4}$$

for any $i \neq j$. We might consider θ_{ij} and Ω_{ij} as functions having poles of order 1 and 2 respectively in terms of $u_1, ..., u_N$. These functions appear naturally when taking derivatives of r_{ij} along idempotents. More precisely

$$\mathcal{E}_k r_{ij} = r_{ik} r_{jk} + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \neq k, \\ \theta_{ij} & \text{if } k = i \neq j, \\ \sqrt{\frac{g_k}{g_i}}\theta_{ik} & \text{if } i = j \neq k, \\ -2\sum_l r_{il}^2 + \sum_{p \neq i} \sqrt{\frac{g_p}{g_i}}\theta_{pi} + \frac{1}{g_i} < \tau_-^2(\mathcal{S}), \mathcal{E}_i > & \text{if } i = j = k \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_j \theta_{ij} = \Big(r_{jj} - \sqrt{\frac{g_j}{g_i}} r_{ij}\Big)\theta_{ij} - \Omega_{ij}$$

for $i \neq j$. The vector field $\mathcal{S}$ in equation (5) is the *string vector field* defined by

$$\mathcal{S} := \gamma_1 - \sum_{n,\alpha} t_n^\alpha \tau_{n-1}(\gamma_\alpha).$$

The operator τ_- acting on the space of vector fields is given by $\tau_-(\tau_n(\gamma_\alpha)) = \tau_{n-1}(\gamma_\alpha)$. Notice that on the small phase space $\tau_-^2(\mathcal{S}) = 0$.

Conditions (C1)–C(3) can be better represented using tensors $\langle\!\langle \cdots \rangle\!\rangle_g$ defined by

$$\langle\!\langle \mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{W}_2 \cdots \mathcal{W}_k \rangle\!\rangle_g := \sum_{m_1,\alpha_1,\ldots,m_k,\alpha_k} f^1_{m_1,\alpha_1} \cdots f^k_{m_k,\alpha_k} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^{\alpha_1}_{m_1} \cdots \partial t^{\alpha_k}_{m_k}} F_g \tag{6}$$

for vector fields $\mathcal{W}_i = \sum_{m,\alpha} f^i_{m,\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha_m}$ where $f^i_{m,\alpha}$ are functions on the big phase space. This tensor is called *k-point* (*correlation*) *function*. As in [L07], we will use the following notation for genus-1 k-point functions:

$$\phi_{i_1,\cdots,i_k} := \langle\!\langle \mathcal{E}_{i_1}, \cdots, \mathcal{E}_{i_k} \rangle\!\rangle_1 .$$

It was proved in [L06] that the genus-1 1-point functions are given by

$$24\phi_i = -12 \sum_j r_{ij} v_{ij} - \sum_j \frac{h_i}{h_j} r_{ij} \tag{7}$$

for all i. Higher point genus-1 functions can also be computed using recursion formulas given in [L06]. For example, the genus-1 2-point functions can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 24\phi_{ij} =& 12 r_{ij}^2 + \sum_l (r_{il} r_{jl} \frac{h_i h_j}{h_l^2} - r_{ij} r_{il} \frac{h_j}{h_l} - r_{ij} r_{jl} \frac{h_i}{h_l}) - \{\theta_{ij} \frac{h_j}{h_i} + \theta_{ji} \frac{h_i}{h_j}\} \\ & - 24 r_{ij} \{\frac{h_j}{h_i} \phi_i + \frac{h_i}{h_j} \phi_j\} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for $i \neq j$. Explicit formulas for some genus-1 3-point functions can also be found in [LW].

2 Proof of Theorem 0.2

The only difference between Theorem 0.2 in this paper and Theorem 0.1 in [LW] is the appearance of the constant C in condition (C2). In [LW] this constant C is 0 while for Theorem 0.2 in this paper C may not be zero. In this section, we will use the same method as in [LW] to prove Theorem 0.2. All formulas in the proof of Theorem 0.1 in [LW] will be adapted to accommodate this change of condition (C2). In this process, extra terms containing the constant C will appear in these formulas, and terms not containing C are exactly the same as in [LW]. The proof in [LW] shows that the summation of the contributions of all terms not containing C to the genus-2 G-function is 0. Therefore to prove Theorem 0.2, we only need to show that the contributions of all terms containing C to the genus-2 G-function add up to 0.

We first note that for semisimple cohomological field theories, condition (C2) have the following equivalent form which is easier to use:

Lemma 2.1 *If $\mathcal{H}$ is semisimple, condition (C2) is equivalent to*

$$\phi_i \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = Ch_i^2 \tag{9}$$

for all i and

$$\phi_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \tag{10}$$

for all $i_1, \cdots, i_k$ with $k \geq 2$.

Proof: Since $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ is constant in flat coordinates, condition $(C2)$ is equivalent to

$$\langle\!\langle\, \gamma_\alpha \,\rangle\!\rangle_1 \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = C\eta_{1\alpha} \tag{11}$$

for all α. By the string equation $\eta_{1\alpha} = \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_\alpha \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \mid_{\mathcal{H}}$. So equation (11) can be rewritten as

$$\langle\!\langle\, \gamma_\alpha \,\rangle\!\rangle_1 \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = C \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_\alpha \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \mid_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for all α. Since both sides of this equation are linear with respect to γ_α, we have

$$\phi_i \mid_{\mathcal{H}} := \langle\!\langle\, \mathcal{E}_i \,\rangle\!\rangle_1 \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = C \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_1\gamma_1\mathcal{E}_i \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \mid_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Since γ_1 is the identity element of the quantum product on the small phase space, by definition of idempotents and the associativity of the quantum product

$$\langle\!\langle\, \gamma_1\gamma_1\mathcal{E}_i \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_1\gamma_1\{\mathcal{E}_i \circ \mathcal{E}_i\} \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_1\{\gamma_1 \circ \mathcal{E}_i\}\mathcal{E}_i \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_1\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{E}_i \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = h_i^2.$$

In the last equality, we have also used the string equation. In the semisimple case, idempotents $\mathcal{E}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{E}_N$ form a basis for the space of primary vector fields. Therefore equation (11) is equivalent to equation (9), and both of them are equivalent to condition (C2).

Equation (10) is a consequence of either equation (11) or equation (9). In fact by equation (11), derivatives of $\langle\!\langle\, \gamma_\alpha \,\rangle\!\rangle_1 \mid_{\mathcal{H}}$ are all 0. By linearity of the k-tensor $\langle\!\langle \cdots \rangle\!\rangle_1$, this implies

$$\langle\!\langle\, w_1 \cdots w_k \,\rangle\!\rangle_1 \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \tag{12}$$

for any primary vector fields $w_1, \cdots, w_k$ with $k \geq 2$. This implies equation (10). The lemma is thus proved. □

An immediate consequence of this lemma is the following

Corollary 2.2 *Under the condition $(C2)$, on the small phase space the following identities hold:*

$$\sum_j r_{ij}v_{ij} \;=\; -\frac{1}{12}\sum_j r_{ij}\frac{h_i}{h_j} - 2Ch_i^2 \tag{13}$$

for all i, and

$$\theta_{ij}\frac{h_j}{h_i} + \theta_{ji}\frac{h_i}{h_j} \;=\; 12r_{ij}^2 + \sum_l (r_{il}r_{jl}\frac{h_ih_j}{h_l^2} - r_{ij}r_{il}\frac{h_j}{h_l} - r_{ij}r_{jl}\frac{h_i}{h_l}) - 48Cr_{ij}h_ih_j \tag{14}$$

for all $i \neq j$.

Proof: Equation (13) follows from equations (7) and (9). Equation (14) follows from equations (8), (9) and $\phi_{ij} \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. $\square$

Recall that the genus-2 G-function $G^{(2)}(u, u_x, u_{xx})$ defined in [DLZ] can be written as:

$$G^{(2)}(u, u_x, u_{xx}) = \sum_i G_i^{(2)}(u, u_x) u_{xx}^i + \sum_{i \neq j} G_{ij}^{(2)}(u) \frac{(u_x^j)^3}{u_x^i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} P_{ij}^{(2)}(u) u_x^i u_x^j + \sum_i Q_i^{(2)}(u)(u_x^i)^2. \tag{15}$$

In this formula, $u = (u_1, \cdots, u_N)$ is the canonical coordinate system on the small phase space $\mathcal{H}$. The flat coordinate system on $\mathcal{H}$ are given by $t_0^\alpha \mid_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $\alpha = 1, \cdots, N$. Functions on $\mathcal{H}$ can be lifted to functions on the big phase space $\mathcal{P}$ via the transformation

$$t_0^\alpha \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle\!\langle \gamma_1 \gamma^\alpha \rangle\!\rangle_0 . \tag{16}$$

In particular, the lifting of $u_i = u^i$ via transformation (16) and their derivatives with respect to $x := t_0^1$ form a coordinate system on the jet space of $\mathcal{H}$. Precise definition for functions $G_i^{(2)}$, $G_{ij}^{(2)}$, $P_{ij}^{(2)}$, and $Q_i^{(2)}$ can be found in Appendix A.

To prove that the genus-2 G-function vanishes, it suffices to show that functions $G_i^{(2)}$ and $Q_i^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ii}^{(2)}$ for all i, $G_{ij}^{(2)}$ and $P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)}$ for $i \neq j$, are equal to 0. As explained in [LW], the main idea of the proof is to first represent all these functions in terms of rotation coefficients r_{ij} and functions h_i, u_i, θ_{ij}, Ω_{ij} and constant C. Using conditions $(C1)$, $(C2)$ and $(C3)$, we can then get rid of u_i, θ_{ij}, and Ω_{ij} and obtain expressions for these functions only involving r_{ij}, h_i and C. For each of functions $G_i^{(2)}$, $G_{ij}^{(2)}$, $Q_i^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ii}^{(2)}$, and $P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)}$, the proof of Theorem 0.1 in [LW] shows that the sum of all terms only containing r_{ij} and h_i is 0. In this paper, we will prove that the sum of all terms containing constant C is also 0.

2.1 Vanishing of $G_{ij}^{(2)}$

In this subsection, we will prove that condition (C2) alone implies that $G_{ij}^{(2)} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. In fact, by equation (13), the function H_i in the definition of the genus-2 G-function (see Appendix A) has the following form

$$H_i := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_j v_{ij} r_{ij} = \frac{1}{24} \sum_k r_{ik} \frac{h_i}{h_k} + C h_i^2 \tag{17}$$

if condition (C2) is satisfied. With a slight modification due to the occurrence of constant C, the same computation as in section 2.1 of [LW] shows that

$$G_{ij}^{(2)} = -\frac{r_{ij}}{5760 h_i h_j} \Big\{ \theta_{ij} \frac{h_j}{h_i} + \theta_{ji} \frac{h_i}{h_j} - 12 r_{ij}^2 - \sum_l \big(r_{il} r_{jl} \frac{h_i h_j}{h_l^2} - r_{ij} r_{il} \frac{h_j}{h_l} - r_{ij} r_{jl} \frac{h_i}{h_l} \big) \Big\} - C \frac{r_{ij}^2}{120}.$$

The right hand side of this equation is understood as a function obtained by applying transformation (16) to a function on the small phase space. Therefore by equation (14), we have $G_{ij}^{(2)} = 0$. Most formulas in the proof of Theorem 0.2 will be understood in a similar way. To make the paper concise, we will not repeat this argument later.

It is not surprising that the vanishing of $G_{ij}^{(2)}$ just follows from a genus-1 condition, i.e. condition (C2). In fact without assuming condition $(C2)$, one can show that on the small phase space

$$G_{ij}^{(2)} = \frac{r_{ij}}{240h_ih_j}\phi_{ij} + \frac{r_{ij}^2}{240}\left(\frac{\phi_i}{h_i^2} - \frac{\phi_j}{h_j^2}\right). \tag{18}$$

So by equations (9) and (10), we have $G_{ij}^{(2)} = 0$. This gives an alternative proof for the vanishing of $G_{ij}^{(2)}$. Moreover, equation (18) and Lemma 2.1 indicate that condition (C2) is a rather natural condition for the vanishing of the genus-2 G-function.

2.2 Vanishing of $G_i^{(2)}$

Since we only need to show that the constant C in condition (C2) does not affect the final result for the calculation of the genus-2 G-function, in the rest part of the proof for Theorem 0.2, we will omit those terms whose contributions to the genus-2 G-function do not contain C. To show $G_i^{(2)} = 0$ for all i, condition (C2) is no longer sufficient. We also need condition (C1). Using similar method as in [LW], we can compute

$$G_i^{(2)} = G_{i,1}^{(2)} + G_{i,2}^{(2)}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i,1}^{(2)} =& \sum_{k\neq i}\frac{1}{1920h_i^2}\Big\{\theta_{ik}\frac{h_k}{h_i} + \theta_{ki}\frac{h_i}{h_k} - 12r_{ik}^2 - \sum_l (r_{il}r_{kl}\frac{h_ih_k}{h_l^2} - r_{ik}r_{il}\frac{h_k}{h_l} - r_{ik}r_{kl}\frac{h_i}{h_l}) \\ &+ 48Cr_{ik}h_ih_k\Big\}\frac{\partial_x u_k}{\partial_x u_i} + \sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ik}}{5760h_ih_k} + C\frac{r_{ii}}{60} + \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i,2}^{(2)} =& \sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ik}}{2880h_ih_k} - \sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ki}h_k}{384h_i^3} + \sum_{k\neq i}\frac{7\theta_{ki}}{2880h_ih_k} + C\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}h_i}{120h_k} - C\frac{17}{120}r_{ii} \\ &+ \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

In these formulas, the phrase "some function of r and h" means a function which only depends on r_{jk} and h_j for $j,k = 1,\cdots,N$. The contributions of such functions to the genus-2 G-function will not involve the constant C.

By equation (14), the coefficient for $\frac{\partial_x u_k}{\partial_x u_i}$ in $G_{i,1}^{(2)}$ becomes 0 under condition (C2). So we have

$$G_{i,1}^{(2)} = \sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ik}}{5760h_ih_k} + C\frac{r_{ii}}{60} + \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\}. \tag{20}$$

Using conditions (C1) and (C2) , we can then get rid of first order poles θ_{ik} and θ_{ki} in equations (20) and (19). A key ingredient in this process is the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3 *Under conditions* $(C1)$ *and* $(C2)$*, on the small phase space we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sum_{i\neq k}\frac{\theta_{ki}}{h_i} &= \sum_i (7\frac{h_k}{h_i^2}r_{ik}^2 - 6\frac{r_{ik}^2}{h_k} - 2\frac{h_k^2}{h_i^3}r_{ii}r_{ik}) + \sum_{i,j}(\frac{h_k^2}{h_i h_j^2}r_{ij}r_{jk} - \frac{h_k}{h_i h_j}r_{ik}r_{jk}) \\ &\quad - 24C\sum_i \frac{r_{ik}h_k^2}{h_i} \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for all k*.*

Proof: Condition (C1) is equivalent to

$$\left\langle\!\!\left\langle\, \gamma_\alpha\gamma^\alpha\gamma_\beta\gamma^\beta \,\right\rangle\!\!\right\rangle_0 |_{\mathcal{H}} = \text{constant}.$$

Therefore the derivative of $\left\langle\!\!\left\langle\, \gamma_\alpha\gamma^\alpha\gamma_\beta\gamma^\beta \,\right\rangle\!\!\right\rangle_0 |_{\mathcal{H}}$ along $\mathcal{E}_k$ must be zero. As explained in [LW], this results gives the following formula.

$$\sum_{i\neq k}(\frac{h_k}{h_i^3}+\frac{h_i}{h_k^3})\theta_{ik} - 2\sum_{i\neq k}\frac{\theta_{ki}}{h_k h_i} = \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\}. \tag{22}$$

As in [LW], we can use equation (14) to convert the first summation on the left hand side to an expression similar to the second summation together with some function only depending on r_{ij}, h_i and C. Equation (21) is then obtained through straightforward calculations. □

Remark 2.4 *Note that repeatedly applying equations* (4), (14) *and* (21) *shows that*

$$\theta_{ij}h_i^p h_j^q \;=\; \theta_{ij}h_i^{p+2k}h_j^{q-2k} + \{\textit{some function of } r,\ h \textit{ and } C\}.$$

Therefore by equations (4) *and* (21)*, if* p *is odd, both* $\sum_{i\neq k} h_i^p\theta_{ik}$ *and* $\sum_{i\neq k} h_i^p\theta_{ki}$ *can be expressed as functions only involving* $\{r_{ij}\}$, $\{h_i\}$ *and* C*.*

Using Lemma 2.3 and Remark 2.4, we can get rid of θ_{ik} and θ_{ki} in equations (20) and (19) and obtain a formula for $G_i^{(2)} = G_{i,1}^{(2)} + G_{i,2}^{(2)}$ which only involves functions $\{r_{jk}\}$, $\{h_j\}$ and C. In this formula, the part which does not contain C vanishes as proved in [LW]. So we only need to consider terms which contain C. Note that the constant C appears in the process of getting rid of θ terms in $G_i^{(2)}$. By Lemma 2.3, the term $\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ik}}{5760h_ih_k}$ in $G_{i,1}^{(2)}$ contributes $-\frac{1}{480}C\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}h_i}{h_k}$. The term $\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ik}}{2880h_ih_k}$ in $G_{i,2}^{(2)}$ contributes $-\frac{1}{240}C\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}h_i}{h_k}$. By Remark 2.4, $-\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ki}h_k}{384h_i^3}$ and $\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{7\theta_{ki}}{2880h_ih_k}$ in $G_{i,2}^{(2)}$ contribute $-\frac{1}{32}C\sum_k r_{ik}\frac{h_i}{h_k}+\frac{1}{8}Cr_{ii}$ and $\frac{7}{240}C\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}h_i}{h_k}$ respectively. Together with terms containing C in equations (20) and (19), the total contribution of all terms containing C in $G_i^{(2)}$ is equal to 0. This shows that $G_i^{(2)}=0$ under conditions (C1) and (C2).

2.3 Vanishing of $P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)}$

In this subsection, we prove $P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ under conditions (C1) and (C2). After computing all partial derivatives involved in the definition of $P_{ij}^{(2)}$ using formulas (2) and (5) and computing functions H_i using equation (17), we obtain the following formula for $P_{ij}^{(2)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(2)} =& \frac{1}{1440}\left(-3\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{h_i h_j r_{ik}\theta_{kj}}{h_k^4} - \frac{41 r_{ij}\theta_{ij}}{h_i^2} + \frac{6 r_{ii} h_j \theta_{ij}}{h_i^3} - 3\sum_k \frac{r_{ik} h_j \theta_{ij}}{h_i^2 h_k}\right. \\ & \left. -4\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{r_{ij}h_j h_k \theta_{ik}}{h_i^4} - 5\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{r_{ij}h_j\theta_{ki}}{h_i^2 h_k}\right) \\ & - C\frac{\theta_{ij}h_j}{60h_i} - C\frac{19 r_{ij}^2}{30} - C\frac{r_{ii}r_{ij}h_j}{60h_i} + \frac{1}{4}C\frac{r_{ij}r_{jj}h_i}{h_j} - \frac{2}{5}C^2 r_{ij}h_i h_j - \frac{1}{30}C\sum_k \frac{r_{ij}r_{jk}h_i}{h_k} \\ & - C\sum_k \frac{r_{ik}r_{jk}h_i h_j}{60h_k^2} + \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

As explained before we only need to consider terms whose contributions to the final calculation of $P_{ij}^{(2)}$ may contain the constant C. The omitted terms in the above formula will not contribute constant C in the final calculation.

When getting rid of terms containing θ in equation (23), new terms containing constant C will emerge. We can get rid of the fifth and sixth terms on the right hand side of equation (23) directly using Lemma 2.3 and Remark 2.4. They will contribute

$$-\frac{2}{15}C\frac{r_{ij}r_{ii}h_j}{h_i} - \frac{1}{120}C r_{ij}h_j\sum_k \frac{r_{ik}}{h_k}.$$

To deal with other terms containing θ in equation (23), we need consider the sum

$$P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)}.$$

We start with the calculation for the first term on the right hand side of equation (23). By equation (14), we have

$$\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{h_i h_j r_{ik}\theta_{kj}}{h_k^4} = -\frac{h_i}{h_j}\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{r_{ik}\theta_{jk}}{h_k^2} - 48C\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{r_{ik}r_{jk}h_i h_j}{h_k^2} + \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\}. \tag{24}$$

Using the similar method as in [LW], we can show that condition $(C2)$ implies the following formula on the small phase space

$$\theta_{ik}r_{jk}\frac{h_j}{h_i} + \theta_{ki}r_{ij}\frac{h_j}{h_k} + \theta_{jk}r_{ik}\frac{h_i}{h_j} + \theta_{kj}r_{ij}\frac{h_i}{h_k} + \theta_{ij}r_{jk}\frac{h_k}{h_i} + \theta_{ji}r_{ik}\frac{h_k}{h_j}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 72Cr_{ik}r_{jk}h_ih_j + 72Cr_{ij}r_{ik}h_jh_k + 72Cr_{ij}r_{jk}h_ih_k \\
=&\{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\} \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i \neq j \neq k$. Multiplying both sides of equation (25) by $\frac{1}{h_k^2}$ and taking sum over k for $k \notin \{i, j\}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{h_i}{h_j}\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{r_{ik}\theta_{jk}}{h_k^2} + \frac{h_j}{h_i}\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{r_{jk}\theta_{ik}}{h_k^2} \\
=&-\sum_k \theta_{ij}r_{jk}\frac{1}{h_ih_k} - \sum_k \theta_{ji}r_{ik}\frac{1}{h_jh_k} + 2\theta_{ij}r_{jj}\frac{1}{h_ih_j} + 2\theta_{ji}r_{ii}\frac{1}{h_ih_j} - 36Cr_{ij}h_j\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}}{h_k} \\
&- 36Cr_{ij}h_i\sum_k\frac{r_{jk}}{h_k} - 72C\sum_k\frac{1}{h_k^2}r_{ik}r_{jk}h_ih_j + 96Cr_{ii}r_{ij}\frac{h_j}{h_i} + 96Cr_{jj}r_{ij}\frac{h_i}{h_j} + 48Cr_{ij}^2 \\
&+ \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\}. \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}
$$

In deriving this formula, we have used equation (14) and the fact that both $\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ki}}{h_k^3}$ and $\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{\theta_{kj}}{h_k^3}$ can be expressed as functions of $\{r_{kl}\}$, $\{h_k\}$ and C.

First applying equation (24) to the first term of $P_{ij}^{(2)}$ on the right hand side of equation (23), then adding to the corresponding term in $P_{ji}^{(2)}$, we can apply equation (26) to replace these terms by other terms which still contain θ. After this operation, all the terms in $P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)}$ containing θ can be grouped into several pairs for which equation (14) can be applied. Using equation (14), we can then obtain a formula for $P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)}$ which only depends on $\{r_{kl}\}$, $\{h_k\}$ and C. For example, if we just get rid of terms in $P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)}$ which contain θ but do not contain C using the above procedure, we obtain the following formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)} \\
=&-C\frac{\theta_{ij}h_j}{60h_i} - C\frac{\theta_{ji}h_i}{60h_j} - \frac{1}{60}Cr_{ij}h_i\sum_k r_{jk}\frac{1}{h_k} - \frac{1}{60}Cr_{ij}h_j\sum_k r_{ik}\frac{1}{h_k} + \frac{1}{60}C\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}r_{jk}h_ih_j}{h_k^2} \\
&+ C\frac{1}{5}r_{ij}^2 - \frac{4}{5}C^2r_{ij}h_ih_j + \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying equation (14) again to the first two terms on the right hand side, we see that the sum of all terms containing C in this expression is 0. Together with the result in [LW], this proves that $P_{ij}^{(2)} + P_{ji}^{(2)} = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

2.4 Vanishing of $\frac{1}{2}P_{ii}^{(2)} + Q_i^{(2)}$

In this subsection, we prove $\frac{1}{2}P_{ii}^{(2)} + Q_i^{(2)} = 0$ for all i. For this purpose, we also need condition (C3) in addition to conditions (C1) and (C2). Using the same method as in [LW], we can express $\frac{1}{2}P_{ii}^{(2)} + Q_i^{(2)}$ as a function of $\{\Omega_{ij}\}$, $\{\theta_{jk}\}$, $\{v_{jk}\}$, $\{r_{jk}\}$, $\{h_j\}$ and

C. After getting rid of part of $\{\theta_{jk}\}$ terms using equations (4), (14), Lemma 2.3 and Remark 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&5760\{\frac{1}{2}P_{ii}^{(2)}+Q_i^{(2)}\}\\
=&-5\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{ik}}{h_i^2}-100\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{r_{ik}\theta_{ik}}{h_i^2}+4\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{r_{kk}h_i\theta_{ik}}{h_k^3}-6\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{h_i^2r_{ik}\theta_{ki}}{h_k^4}+5\sum_j\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{r_{jk}\theta_{ik}}{h_ih_j}\\
&-2\sum_k\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{r_{jk}h_i\theta_{ij}}{h_kh_j^2}+40\sum_k\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{r_{ik}v_{jk}\theta_{ij}}{h_i^2}-8064C^2r_{ii}h_i^2-48Cr_{ii}^2+4608C^3h_i^4-856C\sum_k r_{ik}^2\\
&-48C\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}^2}{h_k^2}h_i^2+456Cr_{ii}h_i\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}}{h_k}+576C^2\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}}{h_k}h_i^3+168C\sum_{j,k}\frac{r_{ik}r_{jk}}{h_j}h_i\\
&-108C\sum_{j,k}\frac{r_{ik}r_{ij}}{h_kh_j}h_i^2+168C\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ki}h_i}{h_k}+48C\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ik}h_i}{h_k}+456C\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\theta_{ik}h_k}{h_i}\\
&+\{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{27}
$$

where $\widetilde{\Omega}_{ij}=\Omega_{ij}\{\frac{h_i}{h_j}-\frac{h_j}{h_i}\}$. Condition (C3) is needed to deal with the term

$$40\sum_k\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{r_{ik}v_{jk}\theta_{ij}}{h_i^2}$$

in this expression. Here we also need to use the formula of F_2 obtained in [L07]. Following the approach described in the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [LW], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&80\sum_{j\neq i}\sum_k\theta_{ij}r_{ik}v_{jk}\frac{1}{h_i^2}\\
=&-15\frac{1}{h_i^2}\sum_{j\neq i}\widetilde{\Omega}_{ji}-5\sum_{j\neq i}\widetilde{\Omega}_{ji}\frac{1}{h_j^2}-24\sum_{j\neq i}\theta_{ji}r_{jj}\frac{h_i}{h_j^3}-400\sum_{j\neq i}\theta_{ji}r_{ji}\frac{1}{h_i^2}+22\sum_{j\neq i}\sum_k\theta_{ji}r_{jk}\frac{1}{h_kh_i}\\
&-3456Cr_{ii}^2+13824C^2r_{ii}h_i^2-9216C^3h_i^4-864Cr_{ii}h_i\sum_j\frac{r_{ij}}{h_j}+6128C\sum_k r_{ik}^2\\
&-1152C^2\sum_j r_{ij}\frac{h_i^3}{h_j}+264C\sum_k\sum_j r_{ik}r_{ij}\frac{h_i}{h_k}\frac{h_i}{h_j}-528C\sum_j\sum_k r_{jk}r_{ij}\frac{h_i}{h_k}\\
&-1104C\sum_{j\neq i}\{\theta_{ij}\frac{h_j}{h_i}+\theta_{ji}\frac{h_i}{h_j}\}+1152C\sum_{j\neq i}\theta_{ji}\frac{h_i}{h_j}+\{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

for all i when conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3) are satisfied. Moreover under conditions (C1) and (C2), the formulas in Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 in [LW] can be modified in the following way

$$0=\widetilde{\Omega}_{ij}+\theta_{ij}\{20r_{ij}+4r_{ii}\frac{h_j}{h_i}-\sum_k(r_{ik}\frac{h_j}{h_k}+r_{jk}\frac{h_i}{h_k})\}+2\sum_{k\neq i}\theta_{ik}r_{jk}\frac{h_j}{h_i}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- 144Cr_{ij}^2h_i^2 - 96Cr_{ij}r_{ii}h_ih_j + 24Cr_{ij}h_ih_j\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}}{h_k}h_i + 48C\sum_k r_{jk}r_{ik}h_ih_j\\
&- 48C\theta_{ij}h_ih_j + \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\} \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i \neq j$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\sum_{k\neq i}\theta_{ik}r_{ik}\frac{1}{h_i^2} - \sum_j\sum_{k\neq i}\theta_{ik}r_{jk}\frac{1}{h_ih_j} + 2\sum_{k\neq i}\theta_{ik}r_{kk}\frac{h_i}{h_k^3}\\
=&240Cr_{ii}^2 - 144C\sum_k r_{ik}^2 - 72C\sum_k r_{ik}^2\frac{h_i^2}{h_k^2} - 96Cr_{ii}h_i\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}}{h_k} + 48Ch_i\sum_{j,k}\frac{r_{ij}r_{jk}}{h_k}\\
&+ 12Ch_i^2\sum_k\sum_j\frac{r_{ij}r_{ik}}{h_jh_k} + \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\} \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all i. These formulas should be understood as formulas on the small phase space.

After plugging equation (28) into equation (27) and using equation (29) to get rid of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{ij}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&5760\{\frac{1}{2}P_{ii}^{(2)} + Q_i^{(2)}\}\\
=&16\frac{1}{h_i^2}\sum_{k\neq i}r_{ik}\theta_{ik} - 8\sum_{\substack{k,l\\ l\neq i}}r_{kl}\theta_{il}\frac{1}{h_k}\frac{1}{h_i} + 16\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{r_{kk}h_i\theta_{ik}}{h_k^3} + 1152C\sum_k r_{ik}^2 - 1920Cr_{ii}^2\\
&+ 576Ch_i^2\sum_j r_{ij}^2\frac{1}{h_j^2} + 768Cr_{ii}h_i\sum_k\frac{r_{ik}}{h_k} - 384Ch_i\sum_j\sum_k r_{ij}r_{jk}\frac{1}{h_k}\\
&- 96Ch_ih_i\sum_k\sum_j r_{ik}r_{ij}\frac{1}{h_jh_k} + \{\text{some function of } r \text{ and } h\}. \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this process, we have used equation (14), Lemma 2.3 and Remark 2.4 to get rid of terms containing θ as many as possible. We also notice that terms containing C^2 and C^3 are all canceled in this process. For example, the term $9216C^3h_i^4$ in equation (27) is canceled when plugging equation (28) into equation (27).

Plugging equation (30) into equation (31), we see that $\frac{1}{2}P_{ii}^{(2)} + Q_i^{(2)}$ does not depend on the constant C. Together with the proof in [LW], this shows that $\frac{1}{2}P_{ii}^{(2)} + Q_i^{(2)} = 0$ for all i. Theorem 0.2 is thus proved. □

3 Proof of Theorem 0.1

In this section we will prove that conditions $(C1) - (C3)$ are satisfied for $\mathbb{P}^1$-orbifolds of ADE type. We can then use Theorem 0.2 to prove Theorem 0.1.

Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory was introduced in [CR] in symplectic geometric setting. Algebraic geometric treatments for such invariants were given in [AGV]. In this paper we only need some basic properties of the Gromov-Witten theory for $\mathbb{P}^1$-orbifolds

which can also be found in [KS]. Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3}$ be the orbifold $\mathbb{P}^1$ with three orbifold points such that the i-th orbifold point has isotropy group $\mathbb{Z}/o_i\mathbb{Z}$, where o_i are positive integers. The Chen-Ruan cohomology group of $\mathcal{X}$ has the following form:

$$H^*_{CR}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_{01}] \oplus \Big(\oplus_{i=1}^3 \oplus_{j=1}^{o_i-1} \mathbb{C}[\Delta_{ij}] \Big) \oplus \mathbb{C}[\Delta_{02}]$$

where Δ_{01} is the identity and $\Delta_{02} = \omega$ is the hyperplane class of the underlying $\mathbb{P}^1$. The classes Δ_{ij} with $1 \le i \le 3, 1 \le j \le o_i - 1$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the twisted sectors and we define Δ_{ij} to be the unit in the cohomology of the corresponding twisted sector. The complex degrees of these classes are

$$\deg \Delta_{01} = 0, \quad \deg \Delta_{02} = 1,$$

$$\deg \Delta_{ij} = \frac{j}{o_i} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le i \le 3, \quad 1 \le j \le o_i - 1.$$

As pointed in [KS], the orbifold Poincare pairing takes the form

$$< \Delta_{i_1,j_1}, \Delta_{i_2,j_2} > = \begin{cases} (\delta_{i_1,i_2}\delta_{j_1+j_2,o_{i_1}})/o_{i_1} & \text{if } i_1 + i_2 \neq 0, \\ \delta_{j_1+j_2,3} & \text{if } i_1 = i_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The genus-0 3-point degree-0 Gromov-Witten invariants are given by the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} & < \Delta_{i_1,j_1}, \Delta_{i_2,j_2}, \Delta_{i_3,j_3} >_{0,0} \\ = & \begin{cases} 1/o_{i_1} & \text{if } i_1 = i_2 = i_3 \in \{1,2,3\}, j_1 + j_2 + j_3 = o_{i_1}, \\ < \Delta_{i_2 j_2}, \Delta_{i_3 j_3} > & \text{if } (i_1, j_1) = (0,1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ be the moduli space of stable maps from genus-g, n-pointed orbicurves to $\mathcal{X}$ with the push forward of the fundamental class equal to β, which lies in the Mori cone of the homological classes of effective 1-cycles. In our case, since $\mathcal{X}$ is 1-dimensional, we can write $\beta = d[\mathcal{X}]$ for $d \ge 0$, where $[\mathcal{X}]$ denotes the fundamental class of orbifold $\mathcal{X}$. The virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vir dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{X}, \beta) &= (3-1)(g-1) + n + < c_1(T\mathcal{X}), \beta > \\ &= 2g - 2 + n + d(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{o_i} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

The descendant Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfy the divisor equation (cf. [AGV]):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_{n_1}(\gamma_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{n_k}(\gamma_{\alpha_k}) \omega \rangle_{g,d} &= d \, \langle \tau_{n_1}(\gamma_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{n_k}(\gamma_{\alpha_k}) \rangle_{g,d} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle \tau_{n_1}(\gamma_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{n_i - 1}(\omega \cup \gamma_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{n_k}(\gamma_{\alpha_k}) \rangle_{g,d} \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

for all $k \geq 1$, $d \geq 0$, $n_i \geq 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\gamma_{\alpha_1}, ..., \gamma_{\alpha_k}$ in Chen-Ruan cohomology group of $\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3}$. By definition, a $\mathbb{P}^1$-orbifold of ADE type is $\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3}$ with property

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{o_i} - 1 > 0.$$

Theorem 3.1 *For $\mathbb{P}^1$-orbifolds of ADE type, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(i) \quad \left\langle \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\beta \gamma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \right\rangle_{0,d} = 0 \quad \textit{for any fixed } \alpha \textit{ and } \beta, \\
&(ii) \quad \left\langle \gamma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \right\rangle_{1,d} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{24} & \textit{if } \ k = 1, d = 0, \gamma_{\alpha_1} = \omega, \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
&(iii) \quad \left\langle \gamma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \right\rangle_{2,d} = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \left\langle \tau_1(\gamma_{\alpha_1}) \gamma_{\alpha_2} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \right\rangle_{2,d} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \geq 1$, $d \geq 0$ and any $\gamma_{\alpha_1}, ..., \gamma_{\alpha_k}$ in Chen-Ruan cohomology group of $\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3}$.

Proof: Consider the correlator $\left\langle \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\beta \gamma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \gamma_{\alpha_k} \right\rangle_{0,d}$. The orbifold poincare paring on Chen-Ruan cohomology $H^*_{CR}(\mathcal{X})$ implies that the sum of the complex degrees of γ_α and γ^α (also the sum of the complex degrees of γ_β and γ^β) must be equal to 1, i.e. the complex dimension of $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3}$. Since the complex degree of all cohomology classes are ≤ 1, the sum of degrees of all cohomology classes in this correlator is $\leq 2 + k$. On the other hand, the complex dimension of the virtual fundamental class equals to $k + 2 + d(\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{o_i} - 1)$. Thus for $d \geq 1$, this correlator must be 0 for the dimension reason. For $d = 0$, the dimension constraint requires all $\gamma_{\alpha_1}, ..., \gamma_{\alpha_k}$ equal to ω. The divisor equation (32) implies that this correlator is 0 for $d = 0$. This proves (i). Similarly, by dimension constraint, divisor equation and the fact $\left\langle \omega \right\rangle_{1,0} = -\frac{1}{24}$ for $\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3}$, we obtain (ii). Part (iii) just follows from dimension constraint. $\square$

Part (i) and part (ii) of Theorem 3.1 implies the following

Corollary 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifold of ADE type. Genus-0 and genus-1 primary Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfy the following identities:*

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left\langle\!\!\left\langle \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\!\!\right\rangle_0 |_{\mathcal{H}} = \textit{constant} \tag{33}$$

and

$$F_1 \mid_{\mathcal{H}} = -\frac{1}{24} t^N + \textit{constant},$$

where $F_1 \mid_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the generating function for genus-1 primary invariants and t^N is the flat coordinate on the small phase space $\mathcal{H} = H^*_{CR}(\mathcal{X})$ corresponding to the cohomology class ω.

Note that by Lemma 3.1 of [LW], after the transformation (16), the function

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\beta \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \,|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

is equal to $O_1 - O_2$ where

$$O_1 = \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_\alpha \gamma_{\alpha'} \gamma_\beta \gamma_{\beta'} \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \, (M^{-1})^{\alpha\alpha'} (M^{-1})^{\beta\beta'},$$

$$O_2 = \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma_\rho \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \, \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_1 \gamma_{\alpha'} \gamma_{\beta'} \gamma_{\rho'} \,\rangle\!\rangle_0 \, (M^{-1})^{\alpha\alpha'} (M^{-1})^{\beta\beta'} (M^{-1})^{\rho\rho'}$$

and entries of the matrix M are defined by

$$M_{\mu\rho} = \langle\!\langle\, \gamma_1 \gamma_\mu \gamma_\rho \,\rangle\!\rangle_0$$

for any μ and ρ. Therefore the special case of Corollary 3.2 for $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds of AD type was also proved in [DLZ] via case by case studies. For type-E $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds, the result of this corollary was conjectured in [DLZ] with a precise constant in equation (33). Corollary 3.2 in particular solves conjecture 3.20 in [DLZ] up to a constant. This constant is not important for our proof of Theorem 0.1. Moreover our proof of Corollary 3.2 is a unified approach for all $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds of ADE type which is much simpler than the arguments in [DLZ] for $\mathbb{P}^1$ orbifolds of AD type.

Theorem 3.1 implies that conditions (C1)–(C3) are satisfied for $\mathbb{P}^1$-orbifolds of ADE type. Therefore Theorem 0.1 follows from Theorem 0.2.

Appendix

A The genus-2 G-function

In this appendix, we give the precise definition of the genus-2 G-function $G^{(2)}$ following [DLZ]. Write

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(2)} = & \sum_i G_i^{(2)}(u, u_x) u^i_{xx} + \sum_{i \neq j} G_{ij}^{(2)}(u) \frac{(u^j_x)^3}{u^i_x} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} P_{ij}^{(2)}(u) u^i_x u^j_x + \sum_i Q_i^{(2)}(u) (u^i_x)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Let γ_{ij} be the rotation coefficient on the small phase space as defined in [D]. Note that $\gamma_{ii} = 0$ which is different from our definition of r_{ii} in Section 1. For $i \neq j$, γ_{ij} is equal to our definition of r_{ij} restricted to the small phase space. Define

$$H_i := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij} \gamma_{ij}^2$$

where $u_{ij} := u_i - u_j$. Then the function $G_i^{(2)}$ can be defined as

$$G_i^{(2)} = G_{i,1}^{(2)} + G_{i,2}^{(2)}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i,1}^{(2)} =& \frac{\partial_x h_i H_i}{60 u_{i,x} h_i^3} - \frac{7 \partial_i h_i \partial_x h_i}{5760 u_{i,x} h_i^4} + \sum_k \left(\frac{\gamma_{ik} H_k}{120 h_i h_k} \frac{u_{k,x}}{u_{i,x}} - \frac{\gamma_{ik} \partial_x h_i}{5760 h_i^2 h_k u_{i,x}} - \frac{\gamma_{ik} \partial_k h_k u_{k,x}}{1152 h_i h_k^2 u_{i,x}} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\partial_i \gamma_{ik} h_k u_{k,x}}{1920 u_{i,x} h_i^3} + \frac{\partial_x \gamma_{ik}}{5760 u_{i,x} h_i h_k} + \frac{\partial_k \gamma_{ik} u_{k,x}}{2880 h_i h_k u_{i,x}} - \frac{7 \gamma_{ik}^2 u_{k,x}}{1152 h_i^2 u_{i,x}} \right) - \sum_{k,l} \frac{u_{k,x} h_k \gamma_{il} \gamma_{kl}}{1920 u_{i,x} h_i h_l^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i,2}^{(2)} =& - \frac{3 \partial_i h_i H_i}{40 h_i^3} + \frac{19 (\partial_i h_i)^2}{2880 h_i^4} + \sum_k \left(\frac{\gamma_{ik} H_i}{120 h_i h_k} + \frac{7 \gamma_{ik} H_k}{120 h_i h_k} - \frac{4 \gamma_{ik} \partial_i h_i}{5760 h_i^2 h_k} - \frac{7 \gamma_{ik} \partial_k h_k}{2880 h_i h_k^2} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\gamma_{ik} \partial_k h_k}{384 h_i^3} - \frac{\partial_k \gamma_{ik} h_k}{384 h_i^3} + \frac{\partial_i \gamma_{ik}}{2880 h_i h_k} + \frac{7 \partial_k \gamma_{ik}}{2880 h_i h_k} + \frac{\gamma_{ik} h_i \partial_k h_k}{2880 h_k^4} - \frac{19 \gamma_{ik}^2}{720 h_i^2} + \frac{\gamma_{ik}^2}{1440 h_k^2} \right) \\ & - \sum_{k,l} \frac{h_i \gamma_{il} \gamma_{kl}}{2880 h_k h_l^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Other functions in equation (34) are defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ij}^{(2)} =& - \frac{\gamma_{ij}^2 H_j}{120 h_j^2} + \frac{\gamma_{ij}^3}{480 h_i h_j} - \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{5760} \left(\frac{\partial_i \gamma_{ij}}{h_i^2} + \frac{\partial_j \gamma_{ij}}{h_j^2} \right) + \frac{\gamma_{ij}^2}{5760} \left(\frac{\partial_i h_i}{h_i^3} + \frac{3 \partial_j h_j}{h_j^3} \right) \\ & + \sum_k \left(\frac{\gamma_{ij} \gamma_{ik} \gamma_{jk}}{5760 h_k^2} + \frac{\gamma_{ij}^2}{5760 h_k} \left(\frac{\gamma_{jk}}{h_j} - \frac{\gamma_{ik}}{h_i} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(2)} =& - \frac{2 \gamma_{ij} H_i H_j}{5 h_i h_j} + \frac{\gamma_{ij} \partial_j h_j H_i}{20 h_i h_j^2} + \frac{\gamma_{ij} h_i \partial_j h_j H_j}{20 h_j^4} - \frac{19 \gamma_{ij}^2 H_j}{30 h_j^2} - \frac{\partial_i \gamma_{ij} H_j}{60 h_i h_j} \\ & + \frac{41 \gamma_{ij}^3}{240 h_i h_j} - \frac{41 \gamma_{ij} \partial_i \gamma_{ij}}{1440 h_i^2} + \frac{\partial_i \gamma_{ij} \partial_j h_j}{1440 h_i h_j^2} + \frac{79 \gamma_{ij}^2 \partial_j h_j}{1440 h_j^3} - \frac{\gamma_{ij} \partial_i h_i \partial_j h_j}{720 h_i^2 h_j^2} - \frac{\gamma_{ij} h_i (\partial_j h_j)^2}{288 h_j^5} \\ & + \sum_k \left(\frac{\gamma_{ij} \gamma_{ik} H_j}{60 h_j h_k} - \frac{\gamma_{ik} \gamma_{jk} h_i h_j H_k}{30 h_k^4} - \frac{\gamma_{ij} \gamma_{jk} h_i H_j}{60 h_j^2 h_k} + \frac{\gamma_{ik} \gamma_{jk} h_i H_j}{60 h_j h_k^2} - \frac{7 \gamma_{ij} \gamma_{jk} h_i H_k}{60 h_j^2 h_k} \right. \\ & - \frac{\gamma_{ij} \gamma_{ik} \partial_j h_j}{720 h_j^2 h_k} + \frac{\gamma_{ij} \gamma_{jk} h_i \partial_j h_j}{240 h_j^3 h_k} - \frac{\gamma_{ik} \gamma_{jk} h_i \partial_j h_j}{1440 h_j^2 h_k^2} + \frac{\gamma_{ij} \gamma_{jk} h_i \partial_k h_k}{720 h_k^4} + \frac{\gamma_{ik} \gamma_{jk} h_i h_j \partial_k h_k}{288 h_k^5} \\ & + \frac{\gamma_{jk} \partial_i \gamma_{ij}}{1440 h_i h_k} - \frac{h_j h_k \gamma_{ij} \partial_i \gamma_{ik}}{360 h_i^4} - \frac{h_j (3 \gamma_{ik} \partial_i \gamma_{ij} + 2 \gamma_{ij} \partial_i \gamma_{ik})}{1440 h_i^2 h_k} - \frac{7 h_j \gamma_{ij} \partial_k (h_k^{-1} \gamma_{ik})}{1440 h_i^2} \\ & \left. - \frac{h_i h_j \gamma_{ik} \partial_k \gamma_{jk}}{480 h_k^4} + \frac{\gamma_{ij}^2 \gamma_{jk}}{120 h_j h_k} + \frac{7 h_i \gamma_{ij} \gamma_{jk}^2}{160 h_j^3} + \frac{11 \gamma_{ij} \gamma_{ik} \gamma_{jk}}{2880 h_k^2} + \frac{h_j \gamma_{ik}^2 \gamma_{jk}}{96 h_k^3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{k,l}\Big(\frac{h_ih_j\gamma_{il}\gamma_{jl}}{720h_kh_l^2}\Big(\frac{\gamma_{kl}}{h_l}-\frac{\gamma_{jk}}{2h_j}\Big)-\frac{h_i\gamma_{ij}\gamma_{jl}\gamma_{kl}}{720h_kh_l^2}\Big),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_i^{(2)}=&\frac{4H_i^3}{5h_i^2}-\frac{7\partial_ih_iH_i^2}{10h_i^3}+\frac{7(\partial_ih_i)^2H_i}{48h_i^4}-\frac{(\partial_ih_i)^3}{120h_i^5}+\sum_k\Big(\frac{7\gamma_{ik}H_iH_k}{10h_ih_k}-\frac{\gamma_{ik}\partial_ih_iH_i}{120h_i^2h_k}\\
&+\frac{7\partial_k(h_k^{-1}\gamma_{ik})H_i}{240h_i}-\frac{7\gamma_{ik}\partial_ih_iH_k}{80h_i^2h_k}+\frac{\gamma_{ik}H_k}{576u_{ik}h_ih_k}+\frac{(2H_i+7H_k)\partial_i\gamma_{ik}}{240h_ih_k}\\
&+\frac{\gamma_{ik}h_kH_i}{576u_{ik}h_i^3}-\frac{31\gamma_{ik}^2H_i}{144h_i^2}+\frac{\gamma_{ik}(\partial_ih_i)^2}{720h_i^3h_k}+\frac{253\gamma_{ik}^2\partial_ih_i}{5760h_i^3}-\frac{\partial_i\gamma_{ik}\partial_ih_i}{960h_i^2h_k}-\frac{\gamma_{ik}^2\partial_kh_k}{2880h_k^3}\\
&-\frac{7\partial_k(h_k^{-1}\gamma_{ik})\partial_ih_i}{1920h_i^2}-\frac{7\partial_i\gamma_{ik}\partial_kh_k}{5760h_ih_k^2}-\frac{41\partial_i\gamma_{ik}\partial_ih_ih_k}{5760h_i^4}+\frac{\partial_i(h_i\gamma_{ik})\partial_kh_k}{2880h_k^4}\\
&-\frac{113\gamma_{ik}\partial_i\gamma_{ik}}{5760h_i^2}+\frac{(3\partial_i\gamma_{ik}+\partial_k\gamma_{ik})\gamma_{ik}}{1440h_k^2}-\frac{\partial_i\gamma_{ik}h_k}{576u_{ik}h_i^3}-\frac{\partial_k\gamma_{ik}}{576u_{ik}h_ih_k}-\frac{\gamma_{ik}^3}{240h_ih_k}\Big)\\
&+\sum_{k,l}\Big(-\frac{\gamma_{kl}\partial_i(h_i\gamma_{il})}{2880h_kh_l^2}+\frac{\gamma_{il}^2\gamma_{kl}}{2880h_kh_l}-\frac{\gamma_{ik}\gamma_{il}^2}{240h_ih_k}-\frac{\gamma_{kl}\partial_i\gamma_{ik}}{2880h_ih_l}+\frac{u_{lk}\gamma_{ik}\partial_l\gamma_{kl}}{1152u_{il}h_ih_l}\\
&+\frac{u_{kl}\gamma_{ik}\gamma_{kl}\partial_i\gamma_{il}}{144h_i^2}+\frac{h_l\gamma_{ik}\partial_i\gamma_{il}}{144h_i^2h_k}+\frac{h_ku_{kl}\gamma_{kl}\partial_i\gamma_{il}}{1152u_{ik}h_i^3}+\frac{h_lu_{ik}\gamma_{ik}^2\partial_i\gamma_{il}}{40h_i^3}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

In these expressions, all summations are taken over the ranges of indices where the denominators do not vanish.

References

[AGV] D. Abramovich, T. Graber, A. Vistoli, *Gromov-Witten theory of Deligne-Mumford stacks*, Amer. J. Math., Volume 130, Number 5, (2008), pp. 1337-1398.

[CR] W.Chen and Y.Ruan, *Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory*, Orbifolds in mathematics and physics. Contemp. Math., 310, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI(2002):25-85.

[D] B. Dubrovin, *Geometry of 2D topological field theories*, Integrable Systems and Quantum Group, Spinger Lecture Notes in Math. 1620 (1996), 120-348.

[DLZ] B. Dubrovin, S. Liu, Y. Zhang, *On the genus Two Free Energies for Semisimple Frobenius Manifold*, Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics 19 (2012), 273-298.

[FLZZ] Y. Fu, S. Liu, Y. Zhang, C. Zhou, *Proof of a Conjecture On the genus Two Free Energies Associated to the A_n Singularity*, arXiv:1305.1008.

[KM] M. Konsevich, Yu. I. Manin, *Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. 164 (1994), 525-562.

[KS] M. Krawitz, Y. Shen, *Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau Correspondence of all Genera for Elliptic Orbifold* $\mathbb{P}^1$, arXiv:1106.6270

[L02] X. Liu, *Quantum product on the big phase space and Virasoro conjecture*, Advances in Mathematics 169 (2002), 313-375.

[L06] X. Liu, *Idempotents on the big phase space*, Contemporary Mathematics,vol. 403 (2006), 43-66.

[L07] X. Liu, *Genus-2 Gromov-Witten invariants for manifolds with semisimple quantum cohomology*, American Journal of Mathematics, 129 (2007), no.2, 463-498.

[LW] X.Liu, X.Wang, *Conditions for the vanishing of the genus-2 G-function*, arXiv:math/1310.2101.

Xiaobo Liu
Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research,
Beijing University, Beijing, China.
E-mail address: *xbliu@math.pku.edu.cn*
&
Department of Mathematics,
University of Notre Dame,
Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA
E-mail address: *xliu3@nd.edu*

Xin Wang
School of Mathematical Sciences,
Beijing University, Beijing, China
E-mail address:*xinwang-1989@163.com*